

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/633,087	MEYER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457	

All Participants:

(1) BARBARA N. BURGESS. (3) _____.

(2) Erik Heter. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2009

Time: Morning

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 4-5, 10, 15-17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Erik Heter to discuss proposed amendments to place the case in condition for allowance. Claims 2, 4-5 are to be placed into independent claim 1 as well as 16-17 into independent claim 15. Claim 10 and its dependents would be cancelled. Erik agreed to the proposed amendments and gave permission to Examiner to perform an Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner's Amendment is submitted herewith.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

